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The experimental combination of rTMS and fMRI reveals the functional relevance of parietal cortex for visuospatial functions
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Abstract

We combined repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate the functional relevance of parietal cortex activation during the performance of visuospatial tasks. fMRI provides information about local transient changes in neuronal activation during behavioural or cognitive tasks. Information on the functional relevance of this activation was obtained by using rTMS to induce temporary regional deactivations. We thereby turned the physiological parameter of brain activity into an independent variable controlled and manipulated by the experimenter and investigated its effect on the performance of the cognitive tasks within a controlled experimental design. We investigated cognitive tasks that were performed on the same visual material but differed in the demand on visuospatial functions. For the visuospatial tasks we found a selective enhancement of fMRI signal in the superior parietal lobule (SPL) and a selective impairment of performance after rTMS to this region in comparison to a control group. We could thus show that the parietal cortex is functionally important for the execution of spatial judgements on visually presented material and that TMS as an experimental tool has the potential to interfere with higher cognitive functions such as visuospatial information processing.
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1. Introduction

Activation of parietal cortex during the execution of visuospatial tasks has been found in a number of recent functional neuroimaging studies in humans. An increase in the blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal, measured with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), or in regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF), measured by positron emission tomography (PET), was observed in the superior parietal lobule (SPL) during the performance of tasks dependent on location matching [19], mental rotation of two- [2] and three-dimensional (3D) [11] objects, mirror reading [17,28], surface orientation [34], and the discrimination of angle differences of visually presented [13] and mentally imagined [35] analogue clocks.

Although the methods of functional neuroimaging provide evidence for transient local increases in neuronal activity, they reveal little about the nature of this activity, e.g., whether it is inhibitory or excitatory [31] or whether
the task-correlated activation in one brain area might be a non-functional by-product of activation in other areas. This methodological limitation of causal interpretations is due to the experimental designs used in neuroimaging studies. In these studies brain activity is measured while subjects execute different cognitive tasks. The different operationalizations of neuronal activity are the dependent variables whose variance is analyzed with regard to the chosen stimulation protocol (the cognitive task). The influence of the execution of the different tasks on brain activity can then be analyzed. Functional neuroimaging can thus establish associations but not causal relationships between task performance and patterns of cortical activation. The technique of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) provides a possibility to manipulate brain activity as an independent variable and to investigate its influence on the performance of different cognitive tasks. TMS thus can be regarded as a tool for the investigation of causal relationships between the physiological parameters measured as operationalizations of brain activity and the behavioural data of task performances.

Repetitive TMS (rTMS) uses trains of repetitive magnetic pulses to induce an electrical field in the neural tissue below the coil [18,38]. The nature of this effect, inhibitory or excitatory, has been found to be dependent on the frequency used in rTMS [18,25]. Several studies report an inhibitory effect of low-frequency (1 Hz or less) rTMS [10,23] while high-frequency (5 Hz and more) rTMS has been shown to lead to an increase of cortical excitability [27]. This frequency dependent rTMS effect has been demonstrated during [22,26], as well as for minutes following the TMS stimulation [10,23,26,27]. Repetitive TMS thus leads to a transient, partial ‘functional lesion’ of a specific cortical region [23,38]. Single-pulse TMS has been used to study the temporal aspects of different functions by disrupting information processing with triggered TMS pulses [1,16,36].

Several studies used rTMS or single-pulse TMS to influence neuronal activation and to investigate the functional relevance of brain activation for different behavioural or cognitive functions such as visual imagery [23], visual shape-processing [4] and hemispheric asymmetry in visual perception [5], motion perception [6,21], and attentional processes [29], as well as to study the hemispheric lateralization and anatomical localization of object-related visual working memory tasks [20], the involvement of parietal cortex in novel [1] and learned [37,39] visual search tasks, the anatomic and physiologic localization of speech arrest [14], the functional relevance of primary motor cortex activation for mental rotation [16], the contribution of posterior parietal cortex (PPC) to eye-hand coordination processes [36], the contribution of visual cortex to tactile discrimination [41], the processing of visual information [3], and the execution of hand [12] and eye movements [32].

Some studies combined TMS with simultaneous functional neuroimaging in order to stimulate and image the brain at the same time and to obtain 3D maps of the magnetic field created by TMS coils [7,8]. Beside the demonstration of a general technical feasibility of interleaving TMS with fMRI, Bohning et al. [7] were able to produce dose-dependent changes in local brain activity. The blood flow changes induced by TMS were comparable to those induced by behavioural or cognitive tasks [7,8].

Compared to studies that combine functional imaging with neuropsychological deficits occurring in structural brain damage [30], the functional deficits induced by TMS are far more transient, and therefore its effect is unlikely to bring about functional reorganization [38] or the functional impairment of remote areas (diaschisis) [33]. Using rTMS we can thus study the contribution of brain areas that have been identified by functional neuroimaging to the performance of a specific task [18,23].

In the present study, we attempted to demonstrate that the well-confirmed activation in the parietal cortex during the performance of visuospatial tasks underlies the task-related processing of visual information and can therefore be considered the causal source of visuospatial abilities. Subjects saw sequences of coloured clocks and performed a task that required them to discriminate angles, colours, or conjunctions of both. The study consists of two experiments. In the first experiment subjects had to perform the tasks during fMRI in order to localize the areas of activation during the execution of the different tasks. In the second experiment a different set of subjects performed the same tasks before (pre-test) and after (post-test) having received real or sham rTMS at 1 Hz to the activated sites. The colour discrimination task serves as a control task for the specificity of the TMS effect to visuospatial tasks. A differential effect of real rTMS on reaction times of the angle discrimination and colour task conditions was assumed to support our hypothesis that parietal activation not only accompanies, but subserves the performance of visuospatial tasks and that rTMS as an experimental tool has the potential to influence higher cognitive functions such as visuospatial information processing.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. FMRI and rTMS experiments

2.1.1. Cognitive tasks

The stimuli consisted of analogue clocks with a yellow face and two white or yellow hands. The angle between the hands varied in steps of 30°. Subjects had to press a button whenever a target stimulus appeared. Targets were defined as clocks with angles of 60 or 30° (angle discrimination task), clocks with white hands (colour discrimination task), or both (conjunction task). Stimuli were generated using the STIM software package (Neuroscan, Hermon, USA). Stimuli were shown for 800 ms with an interstimulus
interval of 1.2 s. In each condition, 20% of the presented stimuli were target stimuli. Note that only the target-defining cue varied between conditions, while the stimuli were physically identical.

Both experiments were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the local ethics committee. All subjects gave their informed consent to participate in the respective study and reported being in good health and free of any psycho-active medication. There had been no incident of prior neurological disorder, including seizures, in any of the subjects.

2.2. fMRI-experiment

2.2.1. Subjects

Six healthy subjects were recruited (mean age 27.5 years, range: 26–31 years; all male). Volunteers were naive within the limits of informed consent.

2.2.2. Apparatus and procedure

MRI data were acquired with a 1.5 T MAGNETOM Vision MRI scanner (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) using the standard head coil. For functional imaging we used a gradient echo EPI sequence (1 volume = 15 axial slices parallel to the plane crossing the anterior and posterior commissure, repetition time/echo time [TR/TE] = 4000 ms/69 ms, flip angle [FA] = 90°, field of view [FoV] = 210×210 mm², voxel size = 1.6×1.6×5.0 mm³). Functional time-series consisted of 128 volumes and lasted 512 s. A T1-weighted 3D MP-RAGE scan was recorded in the same session (voxel size = 1×1×1 mm³).

Subjects were asked to keep their eyes steady during scanning. Off-line electrooculography recordings showed absence of differences in saccade rate between conditions.

2.2.3. Design

The three different conditions (angle, conjunction, colour) were tested in one session following the classical block design (four separate blocks in a pseudorandom order, each lasted 20 s and contained 10 stimuli, altogether 12 blocks). Stimuli were delivered to a high luminance LCD projector (EIKI LC-6000). The images were back-projected onto a frosted screen positioned at the foot end of the scanner and viewed by the subjects through a mirror placed on the head coil.

2.2.4. Statistical analyses

FMRI-data analysis and visualization was performed using the BrainVoyager 3.7 software package. Spatial and temporal smoothing, removal of linear trends, motion correction, Talairach transformation of 3D anatomical data sets and generation of 3D functional data sets (volume time courses) followed procedures published elsewhere [17,24].

The statistical analysis of the variance of the BOLD signal was based on the application of multiple regression analysis to time series of task-related functional activation [15]. The general linear model (GLM) of the experiment was computed from the six (one for each subject) z-normalized volume time courses. z-Normalization of the BOLD signal was performed subject by subject for each voxel time course. The signal values during the angle detection, colour detection, and conjunction task conditions were considered the effects of interest. The corresponding predictors, obtained by convolution of an ideal box-car response (assuming the value 1 for the time-points of task presentation and the value 0 for the remaining time points) with a linear model of the hemodynamic response [9], were used to build the design matrix of the experiment. The global level of the signal time-courses in each session was considered to be a confounding effect. To analyse the effects of each separate condition compared to baseline, 3D group statistical maps were generated by associating each voxel with the F value corresponding to the specified set of predictors and calculated on the basis of the least mean squares solution of the GLM. Voxels were only accepted as activated when the associated P-value was < 3.591×10⁻¹³ (uncorrected, corresponding to a multiple regression coefficient R² > 0.3) and they formed part of a cluster of 200 mm³ or more (Table 2). Furthermore, in areas that were significantly activated during more than one condition, statistical comparisons were performed on the basis of the mean time course of all voxels of an analysed area. Values of percent signal change averaged over subjects were computed on the basis of the difference between the mean values of the fMRI signal in each experimental condition and the mean fMRI signal in the baseline periods for each individual subject [17]. These values were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a post hoc pairwise comparison with stimulus condition as a within-group factor and correction of P-values for multiple comparisons (Table 2, contrasts).

2.3. r-TMS-experiment

2.3.1. Subjects

Twenty subjects volunteered to participate (mean age: 28 years, range: 19–44 years; nine males, 11 females).

2.3.2. Apparatus and procedure

A custom TMS stimulator (MagPro, Medtronic Functional Diagnostics, Skovlunde, Denmark) was used to generate repetitive biphasic magnetic pulses. Magnetic pulses were delivered with a figure-eight-coil (Magnetic Coil Transducer MC-B70, Medtronic) with an outer radius of 50 mm. Individual motor thresholds were identified by stimulating the motor cortex with single TMS pulses until a movement of the contralateral thumb was detected in relaxed muscle state. For real rTMS, the centre of the coil was held tangentially to the skull over parietal cortex (Pz). For sham rTMS, the coil was moved downwards from Pz by 3 cm and rotated so that the edge of the two wings of the coil rested at 90° on the scalp. In this sham rTMS
condition, the induced magnetic field did not enter the brain, although the touch on the scalp and the sound of the coil are comparable to those in the real rTMS condition [23].

During the experiment the coil was fixed in position and subjects were asked to keep their eyes steady throughout the experiment. Repetitive TMS was delivered at 80% of the subject’s motor threshold, a field intensity sufficient to influence cortical activity [43], at 1-Hz stimulation frequency, in a single train of 10 min duration, in accordance with international safety standards of rTMS experimentation [40]. Overall each subject received 600 stimuli. These stimulation parameters produce effects that last after the stimulation period [18,23].

2.3.3. Design

Every clock reading task (angle, conjunction, colour) consisted of three blocks, each containing 20 stimuli (60 stimuli). The whole sequence thus consisted of 180 stimuli. Before every block, the target-defining cue was presented. The trial sequence of the blocks as well as the sequence within each block was randomized.

Subjects were randomly assigned to one of two groups. One group was stimulated with real rTMS (stimulation-group), while the other group received sham rTMS stimulation (control-group).

We repeatedly measured the subject’s performance in the three different tasks, before and after the stimulation or before and after the sham condition, respectively, in order to compare possible differences in the change of the task performances from pre-test to post-test between the two groups (Table 1).

2.3.4. Statistical analyses

Mean reaction times for correctly detected target stimuli were computed by task condition and subject. Mean reaction times in the pre-test were compared to mean reaction times in the post-test for each group and task condition by calculating the difference between the two test times. A two-way ANOVA for repeated measurements was computed to test for a significant interaction of the two factors of the experimental design (Table 1).

3. Results

3.1. fMRI-experiment

All three task conditions (angle, conjunction, and colour), compared to baseline, were accompanied by an increase in the BOLD signal in striate and extrastriate visual cortex (Fig. 1), inferior parietal lobule (IPL), primary motor cortex (PMC), the frontal eye fields (FEF), and the supplementary motor area (SMA). The angle and conjunction detection tasks showed additional activation of regions in SPL bilaterally (Table 2).

The comparison between BOLD signal changes for the different conditions in visual cortical areas revealed that the overall activation level tended to be higher during the angle and conjunction than during the colour condition (Table 2, contrasts). This effect was particularly pronounced in the left intraparietal sulcus (IPS), an area of SPL where signal changes were consistently highest for the angle condition, followed by the conjunction and colour conditions (Figs. 1 and 2) and was also observed in the FEF bilaterally (Table 2). Other parietal areas, including the IPL bilaterally, did not show a significant difference between the angle and conjunction conditions. On the other hand, the activation of the fusiform gyrus in the occipitotemporal ventral stream were roughly equal for all conditions (Table 2, contrasts). PMC and SMA did not show task specific differences.

3.2. rTMS-experiment

In order to analyse the change of the reaction time from pre-test to post-test in the rTMS-experiment, we subtracted the mean reaction time in the post-test from the mean reaction time in the pre-test for every task independently for both groups. A negative difference means an increase of the reaction time in the post-test while a positive

| Table 1 |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Multivariate two-factorial design | | |
| Pre-test | Treatment | Post-test |
| Stimulation-group | Visuo–spatial tasks: | real rTMS | Visuo–spatial tasks: |
| | angle, conjunction | | angle, conjunction |
| | Non-visuo–spatial task: | | Non-visuo–spatial task: |
| | colour | | colour |
| Control-group | Visuo–spatial tasks: | sham rTMS | Visuo–spatial tasks: |
| | angle, conjunction | | angle, conjunction |
| | Non-visuo–spatial task: | | Non-visuo–spatial task: |
| | colour | | colour |

Randomly assigned group comparison factor (two levels: real rTMS, sham rTMS); factor of repeated measurement (two levels: pre-test, post-test). Notes: Angle: angle discrimination in the clock reading task (targets=hands with angles of 30 or 60°). Colour: colour discrimination in the clock reading task (targets=white hands). Conjunction: combined angle and colour discrimination in the clock reading task (targets=white hands with 30 or 60°).
Fig. 1. Group analysis (GLM) for six subjects at $F(6,743)=10.95$ ($P=10^{-1}$, uncorrected). Colour coded group statistical maps (see Materials and Methods) of BOLD signal increase for task vs. baseline (predictors: a=angle, &=conjunction, c=colour) superimposed on the inflated hemispheres of a single subject. View from the occipital pole. The white lines indicate the descending portions of the intraparietal sulci.
difference means a decrease of reaction time in the post-
test (Fig. 3).

The stimulation-group showed virtually no change in
reaction time from pre-test to post-test in the colour
discrimination (+5.3 ms) and the conjunction task (+1.6
ms) and even an increased reaction time in the post-test in
the angle discrimination task (−28.1 ms). The control-
group, on the other hand, showed a decrease in reaction
time in all three tasks (+49.4 ms in the angle discrimina-
tion task; +26.8 ms in the colour discrimination task;
+57.9 ms in the conjunction task). This decrease in
reaction time was probably due to a familiarization effect.
The difference in the change from pre-test to post-test between stimulation and control-group was highest in the
angle discrimination task (77.5 ms), followed by the
conjunction task (59.4 ms), while the colour discrimination
task showed almost no difference between the two groups
(21.4 ms). These results suggest a difference in the change
from pre-test to post-test between the two groups as
expected by the hypotheses.

The two-way ANOVA for repeated measurements re-
vealed that the differences between the stimulation and
control groups in the change of reaction time from pre-test
to post-test were only significant for the angle discrimina-
tion task \(F(1,18)=11.8; \ P=0.003\) and the conjunction
task \(F(1,18)=6.1; \ P=0.024\), while the colour discrimina-
tion task \(F(1,18)=0.92; \ P=0.35\) showed no significant
difference between the groups.

4. Discussion

The analysis of the BOLD signal changes associated
with the different conditions of the cognitive paradigm
reveals that a significant activation of SPL occurred only
during the conditions involving a visuospatial judgement
(angle and conjunction), in accordance with prior results
[11,17,19,28], while brain areas subserving elementary
visual processing (striate and extrastriate visual cortex),
and motor responses (PMC) were activated in all con-
ditions. The higher activation level in the FEF during
the angle condition compared to the colour (bilaterally)
and conjunction (only right FEF) conditions might reflect
differences in spatial attention or maintenance of spatial
information between the tasks [17,42]. In the IPS, both
contrast effects and signal amplitude were highest during
the angle discrimination task, followed by the conjunction
task, where visuospatial features contributed only part of
the task-relevant information. These different activation
patterns cannot be attributed to changes in the composition
of the visual stimulus, because stimuli were kept phys-
ically identical across conditions and only the cue and the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical area</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Colour vs. baseline</th>
<th>Angle vs. baseline</th>
<th>Conjunction vs. baseline</th>
<th>Contrast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left posterior parietal cortex</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior parietal cortex</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>−74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−43</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>−38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>−50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior frontal gyrus (SMA)</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior frontal gyrus (SMA)</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left precentral sulcus (FEF)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right precentral sulcus (FEF)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left occipital lobe</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>−75</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right occipital lobe</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>−73</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left occipitotemporal cortex</td>
<td>37/19</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−64</td>
<td>−73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right occipitotemporal cortex</td>
<td>37/19</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>−77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>−90</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−51</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>−53</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Talairach coordinates of centres of mass of activated clusters >200 mm³ (at \(F=12.25, R>0.3;\) six subjects) of the condition vs. baseline maps. Contrast: comparison of signal change from baseline for (1) angle (A) vs. colour (C); (2) angle vs. conjunction (C); (3) conjunction vs. colour. A, C, & indicate the larger value. \(P<0.05\) post hoc paired \(t\)-tests. Empty fields indicate that differences in signal change from baseline between experimental conditions did not reach significance. BA = Brodmann area.
Fig. 2. Centre: Axial cut (at Talairach z=45) through a surface reconstruction of the brain of one of the subjects showing the group activation map (thresholded at $R=0.3$, corresponding to an uncorrected $P$-value=$3.591 \times 10^{-11}$) for angle condition versus baseline. Left and right: BOLD signal time courses in the left and right IPS averaged over six subjects (bottom) and box plots (top) of mean activation levels during the angle, conjunction, and colour conditions in the IPS areas. Mean percent signal change and standard deviation are displayed on the y-axis for each condition.

Fig. 3. Comparison of the mean differences between the reaction times in the pre-test and in the post-test calculated for both groups and each task. Negative differences mean increases in reaction time and vice versa. As a reference, the line of no difference (pre-test minus post-test=0) is drawn in the figure. Error bars denote standard deviation.

task given to the subjects varied. Therefore the BOLD activation levels in SPL reflect a modulation of cortical activity that is related to the visuospatial component, which is a specific task-relevant feature of only two of the used conditions.

By using low-frequency rTMS we were able to inhibit the excitability [10,23] of the parietal cortex as an independent variable and examine the influence of this manipulation of cortical excitability on the performance of two visuospatial tasks as dependent variables. To control the specific effect of the manipulation on visuospatial tasks, a non-visuospatial task was included in the experimental design.

The control-group, (sham rTMS) performed faster in all tasks after the stimulation session (post-test), which can be attributed to familiarization with the tasks. The stimulation-group, whose SPL had been exposed to real rTMS, performed more slowly in both the angle and conjunction task. The colour discrimination task was unaffected. The significant two-factor interaction in the ANOVA could thus show that decreased excitability of SPL reduces the performance of visuospatial tasks (angle,
conjunction), but not that of visual tasks which do not require the analysis of the spatial features of the stimulus (colour).

These findings not only show that the parietal cortex is activated when healthy volunteers perform visuospatial tasks, but also suggest that performance of these tasks is impaired when neural activity in this region of cortex is disrupted by rTMS. This provides a strong evidence for a causal relationship of parietal cortex activation and visuospatial abilities.

By combining fMRI and rTMS within one experimental design this study reveals that rTMS has the potential of taking functional neuroimaging one step further by elucidating causal relationships between neural activation and cognitive function [18].

The presented results encourage investigations of potential hemispheric differences in the functional relevance of parietal cortex in visuospatial functioning. Moreover, the timing of visuospatial information processing in the parietal cortex can be proved single-pulse TMS. Further studies might also investigate whether the specificity of the TMS effect is due to a disruption of the task performance itself or of a task training effect. A follow up study designed to distinguish explicitly between subjects trained to perform the task at ceiling and non-trained subjects could lead to such a differentiation of the described effects.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Alzheimer Research Centre, Frankfurt am Main, Germany and the Alzheimer Forschung Initiative e.V. The authors are grateful to Lars Muckli for suggestions concerning the stimuli and to Heather Drewett and Aistis Bieri for comments on the manuscript.

References


