Acute tryptophan depletion improves performance and modulates the BOLD response during a Stroop task in healthy females
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To gain more insight into the effect of low brain serotonin (5-HT) on brain activation related to conflict, the present study examined the effect of acute tryptophan depletion (ATD) on performance and the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) response during a combined cognitive and emotional Stroop task. Fifteen healthy female volunteers were tested during a placebo and tryptophan depletion session in an event-related fMRI design. ATD improved performance during Stroop interference. Two effects of ATD on the BOLD response were found. Firstly, ATD increased the BOLD response in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (BA 32) when incongruent color words were compared with congruent color words in the first Stroop block the participants performed. Secondly, ATD increased the BOLD response in the left precuneus (BA 31) and cuneus (BA 18) during congruent color words. ATD did not affect the BOLD response accompanying emotional stimuli. However, we showed that ATD increased the interference of negative words on color naming. This finding was explained in terms of an emotional processing bias in favor of negative words, which leads to stronger interference of these words. In line with previous studies, the present study showed that a temporary reduction of 5-HT improved Stroop performance and changed the underlying brain activation pattern in healthy female participants. Moreover, we replicated our previous finding that ATD modulated the BOLD response in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex during tasks that require cognitive control.
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Introduction

Acute tryptophan depletion (ATD) has been used as a model to study the effects of reduced central 5-HT (Nishizawa et al., 1997; Williams et al., 1999; Young et al., 1999) on cognitive performance and brain activation. In a previous study, our group showed that ATD increased the response in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) to negative feedback preceding a switch in response strategy (Evers et al., 2005). Activation in the dmPFC associated with negative feedback has been related to performance monitoring and cognitive control (see Ridderinkhof et al., 2004 for a review). According to one theory (Holroyd and Coles, 2002), the dmPFC response to negative feedback is linked to phasic changes in the midbrain dopamine system related to outcomes that are worse than expected. According to a second theory, dmPFC activation is related to conflict monitoring which becomes necessary when two competing response tendencies become active at the same time (Botvinick et al., 2004). In our previous study (Evers et al., 2005), it was unclear whether the dmPFC response to negative feedback was associated with an outcome that was worse than expected or conflict monitoring. Therefore, the present study examined brain responses to conflict in the absence of negative outcome. This was done in a combined cognitive and emotional Stroop task.

The studies examining the effects of ATD on performance in a Stroop task have been inconclusive thus far. Some studies reported improved performance (Coull et al., 1995; Rosse et al., 1992; Rowley et al., 1997; Schmitt et al., 2000), whereas other studies did not show an effect of ATD on Stroop performance (Gallahger et al., 2003; Horacek et al., 2005; Sobczak et al., 2002). Horacek et al. (2005) showed that ATD increased the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) response in the left bilateral mediofrontal, anterior cingulate and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex during Stroop performance in healthy volunteers. A problem with this study is that performance was measured outside the MRI scanner. It is therefore not possible to judge whether the participants carried out the task correctly during scanning. The current study used an event-related design to study the effect of ATD on the BOLD response during Stroop interference. Performance and the BOLD signal were recorded simultaneously.

5-HT has also been related to emotional processing. Previous studies revealed that ATD impaired the processing of positive
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were given a tryptophan-depleted (TRP) mixture and about 15 min before the start of the scanning session, a structural scan was made, which lasted for about 9 min. Halfway through the scanning session, a structural scan was made, which lasted for about 10 min. Before the first test session, participants were trained in a dummy scanner.

**Stroop task**

The participants were instructed to report the color of the ink in which the presented words were printed by pressing one of four response buttons. Two fiber-optic response devices with two buttons were used. On the first response box, the left middle finger was used for blue, the left index finger for red. On the second response box, the right index finger was used for green, and the right middle finger for yellow. This color-response correspondence was written in small white letters on the bottom of the screen, along with each presented word. The participants were instructed to learn this correspondence by heart and to look at the bottom of the screen only if their memory failed. The task was programmed in E-Prime V1.0 (Psychology Software Tools, 2002).

Participants completed two blocks of the modified Stroop task in each test session. Each block contained 40 CC, 40 IC, 24 positive, 24 negative and 24 neutral words, which were presented in a semi-randomized order (never the same color three times in a row). Each word type was presented equally often in each of the four colors. A test block started with 10 neutral words that were not included in the analysis. During the training session, the participants performed two Stroop blocks each containing 40 CC, 40 IC and 72 neutral words.

The following performance measures were used: mean RT and the number of errors for IC, CC, neutral, positive and negative words and interference scores. Interference scores for the cognitive Stroop express the extra time needed for IC compared with CC words ((RT for IC words – RT for CC words) / RT for CC words) as a percentage. As regards the emotional Stroop, interference scores were calculated for positive compared with neutral words and for negative compared with neutral words (Booij et al., 2005).

**Acute tryptophan depletion**

The present study used ATD to temporary lower 5-HT in the human brain (Young et al., 1985). The TRP– mixture (75 g) contained 4.1 g l-alanine, 2.4 g l-glycine, 2.4 g l-histidine, 6.0 g l-isoleucine, 10.1 g l-leucine, 6.7 g l-lysine, 4.3 g l-phenylalanine, 9.2 g l-proline, 52.2 g l-serine, 4.9 g l-threonine, 5.2 g l-tyrosine, 6.7 g l-valine, 3.7 g l-arginine, 2.0 g l-cysteine and 2.3 g l-methionine. In the BAL mixture, 3.0 g TRP was added. The mixtures were prepared with 200 ml tap water.

**Biochemical measures**

Blood samples (10 ml) were taken before ingestion of the AA mixture and about 15 min before the start of the scanning session to determine the plasma TRP level and the TRP/ΣLNAA ratio (\text{[TRP]} / \text{[tyrosine + leucine + phenylalanine + isoleucine + ...}
Blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 4°C (10 min, 4500 rpm). One hundred microliters aliquot of plasma was mixed with 8 mg sulfasalicylic acid and frozen at −80°C until determination of the AAs by high-performance liquid chromatography (Van Eijk et al., 1993).

**Questionnaires**

**Mood**

A short visual analogue version of the Profile of Mood States (POMS) was used to assess mood (McNair et al., 1988). This questionnaire consists of 32 bipolar sets of adjectives, which measure five mood dimensions: anger, depression, fatigue, tension and vigor. The items were scored on a 10-point scale.

**Adverse effects**

Adverse effects, 31 items, were registered and scored on a 4-point scale from ‘no complaint at all’ (0) to ‘severe complaint’ (4). A total score was calculated by adding the scores on the individual items.

**Statistical analysis**

The effect of ATD on the RTs and the number of errors were analyzed (SPSS version 11.5 for Windows) using GLM repeated measurements with Trial Type (IC and CC, or neutral, positive and negative words) and Treatment (BAL or TRP−) as within subject factors and Order (TRP− or BAL mixture first) as between-subject factor. The effect of ATD on interference scores was analyzed using GLM repeated measures with Treat as within subject variable and Order as between subject variable. Plasma TRP level and the TRP/ΣLNAA ratio were analyzed using GLM repeated measurements with Time (t0 and t5) and Treatment as within subject variables and Order as between subject variable (Greenhouse–Geisser correction). Paired-sample t tests were used to compare baseline measurements. The effect of ATD on mood and adverse effects was analyzed using GLM repeated measurements with Time and Treatment as within subject variables and Order as between subject variable (Greenhouse–Geisser correction).

**Image acquisition**

Participants were scanned in a 1.5 T Philips scanner at the Maastricht University Hospital. T2*-weighted gradient echo planar images (EPI) (TE = 27 ms) were acquired with BOLD contrasts. A whole brain acquisition consisted of 24 slices (slice thickness 5 mm; TR = 1.75 s; voxel size before normalization was 3.5 × 3.5 × 5 mm and after normalization 2 × 2 × 2 mm; no slice gap; matrix size 64 × 64 × 24; oblique transversal orientation; flip angle 90°), and 220 volumes were acquired for each Stroop block. The stimulus presentation and the scanning were synchronized at the beginning of each run. High-resolution T1-weighted images for anatomical localization were made of each participant (voxel size 1 × 1 × 1 mm).

**Image analysis**

SPM2 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK) was used for data processing. Preprocessing procedures included slice acquisition time correction (slice 12 as reference slice; TA = 1.68) and within subject realignment (Realign and Unwrap) using the first slice as a reference. Images from session 2 were then coregistered to the mean image from session 1 and thereafter spatially normalized to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) structural template (average of 152 T1 brains). Finally, the images were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian (8 mm full-width at half maximum) kernel and high pass filtered (128 s). A simple hemodynamic response was used as a covariate in a general linear model, and a parametric estimate was generated for each voxel for each trial type (correct and incorrect). Individual contrast images were taken to a second level analysis, in which t values were calculated for each voxel treating inter-subject variability as a random effect. The hemodynamic response function was modeled to the onset of the response.

The following contrasts were calculated to assess task effects (BAL data only): 1) IC words versus all other trial types, 2) CC words versus all other trial types, 3) IC minus CC words, 4) neutral words versus all other trial types, 5) positive words versus all other trial types, 6) negative words versus all other trial types, 7) positive minus neutral words, 8) negative minus neutral words. These contrasts were analyzed using whole brain analysis (P-corrected cluster < 0.05).

The following contrasts were calculated to assess the effect of ATD: 9) IC words in the TRP− compared with IC words the BAL condition (IC words × ATD), 10) CC words × ATD, 11) IC minus CC words × ATD, 12) neutral words × ATD, 13) positive words × ATD, 14) negative words × ATD, 15) [positive minus neutral words] × ATD, 16) [negative minus neutral words] × ATD, 17) all events (IC, CC, neutral, positive and negative words) × ATD.

The effect of ATD was analyzed using whole brain analysis (P-corrected cluster < 0.05). ROI analyses were executed for the IC words (contrasts 9 and 11) using the following ROIs: brain areas that showed increased activation after ATD during a Stroop task as revealed by Horacek et al. (2005): the left inferior frontal gyrus (BA 47; TAL: x = −24, y = 12, z = −16) and the right medial frontal gyrus (BA 10; TAL: x = 6, y = 52, z = 0). We use WFU-PickAtlas tool (Maldjian et al., 2003) for ROI analysis. Ten-millimeter spheres were built around the center coordinates. The Talairach Daemon was used to label the coordinates of the anatomical regions (http://ric.uthscsa.edu/TDapplet/).

**Results**

Fifteen volunteers were successfully tested. Of the original 19 included volunteers, two dropped out after the first session because of nausea and vomiting, one volunteer panicked in the scanner, and imaging data for one participant were lost due to technical problems. Nine participants started in the BAL condition and six started in the TRP− condition.

**Biochemical results**

Blood samples were not complete for five participants due to technical problems. Data are presented for ten participants. ATD lowered (F(1,8) = 24.8; P = 0.001) the TRP plasma level by 80%. Mean TRP level on the BAL condition was 43.7 μM (SE = 1.8) at t0 and 132.0 μM (SE = 13.2) at t5. Mean TRP levels in the TRP− condition were 46.0 μM (SE = 3.1) at t0 and 9.2 μM (SE = 0.7) at t5. No significant difference in plasma TRP (T = −1.1; P = 0.3) was present at baseline (t0) between the BAL and the TRP− condition. ATD lowered (F(1,8) = 13.6; P < 0.01) the TRP/ΣLNAA ratio by 91%. The mean ratio in the BAL condition was 0.12 (SE = 0.01) at t0 and 0.14 (SE = 0.01) at t5. The mean ratio in the TRP− condition was 0.12 (SE = 0.01) at t0 and 0.01 (SE =
No significant difference ($T = 0.9; P = 0.4$) in the ratio was present at baseline between the BAL and the TRP/C0 condition.

Performance

Cognitive Stroop

RTs and percentage incorrect responses for the different event types are presented in Table 1. GLM analysis showed a main effect of Trial Type for RT ($F(1,13) = 61.2; P < 0.001$): participants responded slower on IC than on CC words. No main effect of ATD was found on RTs ($F(1,13) = 1.2; P = 0.30$). Statistical analysis did not show an effect of ATD on the number of errors on IC and CC words. GLM analyses revealed an effect of ATD on the interference score for IC words ($F(1,13) = 4.5; P = 0.057$). Because of our a priori hypothesis that ATD does not change or decrease Stroop interference, it is allowed to test this hypothesis one-sided. We therefore concluded that ATD significantly decreased ($F(1,13) = 4.5; P = 0.03$) interference for IC words compared with CC words. This effect was not confounded by the order of testing ($F(1,13) = 0.4; P = 0.5$).

Emotional Stroop

RTs and percentage incorrect responses for the different event types are presented in Table 1. GLM analysis showed a main effect of Emotion on RT ($F(1,13) = 3.9; P < 0.05$). Paired $t$ tests showed that participants reacted slower to negative (711 ms, SE = 26) than to positive (711 ms, SE = 25; $T = 2.2; P < 0.05$) and neutral words (700 ms, SE = 28; $T = 2.6; P < 0.05$). RTs for positive and neutral words did not differ. No effect of ATD on RTs was found. GLM analysis showed a significant interaction between Treatment and Emotion ($F(2,26) = 9.8; P < 0.01$) for the number of errors. Paired $t$ tests showed that the numbers of errors on negative words increased after ATD ($T = 3.2; P < 0.01$). No effect of ATD on the interference scores was found.

Imaging

Cognitive Stroop

Task-related BOLD responses during the BAL condition are presented in Table 2. IC words (contrast 1) were associated with an increased BOLD response in the left inferior parietal cortex (BA 40), left middle frontal cortex (BA 46) and right superior temporal cortex (BA 22). CC words (contrast 2) increased the BOLD response in the left inferior parietal (BA 40) and the right inferior frontal cortex (BA 45). IC minus CC words (contrast 3) was associated with an increased BOLD response in a left inferior frontal cluster (BA 44/45).

Since previous research (Compton et al., 2003; Bush et al., 1998) suggested that ACC activation is especially prone to the effects of practice, we conducted a post hoc analysis and investigated the BOLD response associated with IC minus CC words in the ACC (TD label bilateral anterior cingulate as ROI) in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event type</th>
<th>BAL condition</th>
<th>TRP− condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean reaction time</td>
<td>incon 768 (24)</td>
<td>736 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>con 650 (16)</td>
<td>636 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neu 705 (29)</td>
<td>694 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pos 687 (26)</td>
<td>698 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neg 717 (28)</td>
<td>705 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference (%)</td>
<td>incon versus con 19 (2)</td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pos versus neu −2.3 (1)</td>
<td>0.5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neg versus neu 1.9 (1)</td>
<td>1.4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage errors</td>
<td>incon 7.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>9.0 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>con 3.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neu 4.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pos 4.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neg 3.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>8.3 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Performance data: mean reaction times (ms), the percentage incorrect responses per word type and the interference scores, with errors of the mean.

Table 2

Brain areas activated during the different words types in the BAL condition revealed by whole brain analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>MNI coordinates</th>
<th>$T$ value</th>
<th>$P$-corrected cluster</th>
<th>Number of voxels</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IC words</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal cortex</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>(−50, −34, 48)</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal cortex</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>(−34, 32, 34)</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal cortex</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>(52, 14, −4)</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CC words</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal cortex</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>(−52, −34, 48)</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal cortex</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>(58, 20, 2)</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IC minus CC words</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal cortex</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>(−40, 24, 26)</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>(−38, 10, 22)</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IC minus CC words first Stroop block ROI analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cingulate cortex</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>(0, 36, 22)</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive words</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior temporal cortex</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>(−46, −26, −22)</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negative words</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral cortex</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>(−44, −32, 46)</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CC = congruent color words, IC = incongruent color words, BA = Brodmann area.
The left postcentral cortex (BA 2) (see Table 1). No significantly negative words (contrast 6) with an increased BOLD response in the left inferior temporal cortex (BA 20) and emotional Stroop showed that ATD increased the BOLD response in the ACC (BA 32) associated with Stroop interference.

The effects of ATD on the BOLD response during the cognitive part of the Stroop task are presented in Table 3. No effect of ATD was found during IC words (contrast 9). ATD increased the BOLD response in the left precuneus (BA 31) and cuneus (BA 18) during CC words (contrast 10) (see Fig. 1). Whole brain analysis did not reveal ATD effects for contrast 11. To calculate the effect of ATD on IC minus CC words in the first Stroop block the participants performed, we first used a whole brain two samples (BAL or TRP –) t test in which the TRP = 36, z = 22, which is the center coordinate of the task-related BOLD response in the first Stroop block. This analysis showed that ATD increased the BOLD response in the ACC (BA 32) in the first Stroop block the participants performed (see Fig. 2).

**Emotional Stroop**

Positive words (contrast 5) were associated with an increased BOLD response in the left inferior temporal cortex (BA 20) and negative words (contrast 6) with an increased BOLD response in the left postcentral cortex (BA 2) (see Table 1). No significantly increased BOLD response was found for neutral words (contrast 4), positive minus neutral words (contrast 7) and negative minus neutral words (contrast 8). No effect of ATD was found on the BOLD response during emotional words (contrast 12 until 16) and overall activation (contrast 17).

**Subjective measures**

On the POMS questionnaire, no effect of ATD was found on the subscales for depression \( F(1,13) = 0.6; P = 0.5 \), anger \( F(1,13) = 1.3; P = 0.3 \), fatigue \( F(1,13) = 4.0; P = 0.1 \) and tension \( F(1,13) = 1.0; P = 0.3 \). A significant main effect of Time \( F(1,13) = 6.4; P < 0.05 \) and a significant interaction effects of Time * Group \( F(1,13) = 6.4; P < 0.05 \) and Treat * Time \( F(1,13) = 5.6; P < 0.05 \) were found for vigor: participants whose second session was the TRP – session felt less vigorous after ATD. No effect of ATD on physical complaints was found \( F(1,13) = 0.1; P = 0.7 \).

**Discussion**

The present study investigated the effect of ATD on performance and the BOLD response during a combined cognitive and emotional Stroop task. In the cognitive part of the Stroop task, we showed first that ATD increased the BOLD signal in the ACC when IC words were compared with CC words in the first block of the Stroop task. Secondly, ATD increased the BOLD response in the left precuneus (BA 31) and cuneus (BA 18) during CC words. At the behavioral level, ATD decreased the interference score for IC words. In the emotional part of the Stroop task, we showed that ATD increased the number of errors on negative words but did not change the BOLD response.

**Cognitive Stroop**

Largely in accordance with the results of a meta-analysis by Laird et al. (2005), we found an increased BOLD response in the left inferior parietal, middle frontal and the right superior temporal cortex related to IC words in the BAL condition. Interestingly, the current study did not find an increased BOLD response in the ACC during Stroop interference. A study carried out by Compton et al. (2003) also failed to find increased ACC activation during IC words. They suggested that this might be explained by practice effects and mention a study in their laboratory that showed increased ACC activation in the first, no ACC activation in the second and decreased ACC activation in the last third of a Stroop interference condition. Furthermore, Bush et al. (1998) showed that the relative difference in the ACC activity between the interference and the neutral conditions decreased as subjects learned the task. In the present study, the participants performed two blocks of the Stroop task during the practice session (80 IC words in which ATD increased the BOLD response during the cognitive Stroop task.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas in which ATD increased the BOLD response during the cognitive Stroop task</th>
<th>MNI coordinates</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>P corrected cluster</th>
<th>Number of voxels</th>
<th>Brodmann area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cingulate cortex</td>
<td>(0, 36, 22)</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC words (whole brain analysis)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left precuneus</td>
<td>(-4, -68, 18)</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cuneus</td>
<td>(-10, -74, 14)</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC = congruent color words. IC = incongruent color words.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
trials), two in the BAL (80 IC trials) and two in the TRP—session (80 IC trials). A post hoc analysis revealed that the ACC was activated when IC words were compared with CC words in the first Stroop block the participants performed. Since the ACC has been related to conflict monitoring and signaling the need for more cognitive control (Botvinick et al., 1999, 2004; Ridderinkhof et al., 2004; Kerns et al., 2004; Matsumoto and Tanaka, 2004), we hypothesize that the increased ACC activation triggered more cognitive control and thereby improved performance during Stroop interference. This hypothesis needs to be tested by future research. In line with these findings, Horacek et al. (2005) reported an increased BOLD response in the ACC (BA 23) after ATD during the interference condition of a Stroop task.

The finding of an increased response in the dmPFC is in line with a previous study (Evers et al., 2005) in which we showed that ATD increased the BOLD response in the dmPFC to negative feedback preceding a switch in response strategy. It should be noted, however, that the center of the area with increased activation was located more ventrally in the present study ([MNI: x = 0, y = 36, z = 22] vs. [MNI: x = 9, y = 39, z = 48]). Based on a review study by Ridderinkhof et al. (2004), which showed that activation related to conflict is not only found in the ACC (BA 24 and 32) but also more dorsally (BA 6 and 8), we would like to speculate that the increased dmPFC response that was found in both studies possibly reflects the same underlying cognitive process. The response to IC trials as well as the response to negative feedback preceding a switch in response strategy might be related to conflict monitoring. In contrast, our research group found that ATD decreased the response in the dmPFC (BA 8; MNI: x = 0, y = 46, z = 38) after a response error followed by negative feedback in a Go/NoGo task in healthy male volunteers (unpublished data).

**Emotional Stroop**

In accordance with our hypothesis, we showed that participants reacted slower to negative than to neutral or positive words. Despite these differences in RTs, we did not find differences in BOLD response between these emotionally salient words. Compton et al. (2003) reported that multiple pilot studies in their laboratory indicated that emotion-related brain activation only showed in a blocked design. It is likely that the presentation of the emotional words in the present study was too short to change the emotional state of the participants. Participants might have been distracted by these words, as shown in the RT data, without showing brain activity related to this emotional state.

Booij et al. (2005) showed that ATD increased interference levels for positive words on an emotional Stroop task. This increase was found in the high-dose ATD condition (100 g), but not in the low-dose ATD condition (50 g). The present study used a 75-g AA mixture, which can be seen as a medium dose. This might explain why the increase in interference levels for positive words was present in our study but did not reach significance ($F(1,13) = 2.1; P = 0.17$). Instead, the present study showed that ATD increased the number of errors on negative words. Unfortunately, Booij et al. (2005) did not report the number and kind of errors made during the emotional Stroop task. Studies that investigated the processing of emotional words in depressed patients (e.g. Lim and Kim, 2005; Rinck and Becker, 2005) are in line with our results. These patients often have an emotional processing bias in favor of negative words that are related to their relevant concerns (e.g. Nunn et al., 1997).

A limitation of the present study is that we used female volunteers only. Since previous studies showed that ATD affects females and males differently (Booij et al., 2002; Harmer et al., 2003), the results of the present study are representative for a female population only.

To conclude, the present study confirms the suggested role for 5-HT in response conflict. It showed that ATD improved Stroop performance on negative words, whereas there was no increase in interference levels for positive words.
performance and increased the BOLD response in the ACC in the first Stroop block. However, more research is needed to examine the association between low 5-HT, the dmPFC and cognition.
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