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The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of long-term continuation of low-intensity exercise training on weight maintenance, substrate metabolism, and β-adrenergic-mediated fat oxidation in weight-reduced obese men. Preceding this part of the study, subjects lost 15 ± 6 kg of body weight by energy restriction with or without low-intensity exercise training. Twenty-nine subjects (diet group, n = 15; diet + exercise group, n = 14) participated in the follow-up study of 40 weeks in which the former diet + exercise group continued their exercise training program. Pre- and postfollow-up, measurements of body weight, body composition, maximal aerobic capacity and substrate oxidation during rest, exercise, and recovery with or without infusion of the β-adrenergic antagonist, propranolol (PRP), were performed. Over the follow-up period, body weight, body fat mass, and fat free mass increased in both groups (P < .0001) without differences between groups. Attendance at exercise training sessions was negatively correlated with regain of body weight (r = −.6, P < .05). Relative fat oxidation, energy expenditure, and β-adrenergic-mediated fat oxidation during rest, exercise, and recovery were maintained over the follow-up period in both groups. Continuation of low-intensity exercise training after weight reduction did not limit regain of body weight, unless exercise training was frequently performed. Relative (β-adrenergic-mediated) fat oxidation and energy expenditure were maintained at postdiet level whether or not low-intensity exercise training was performed during follow-up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Thirty-seven obese male subjects (body mass index [BMI] >27 kg/m²) participated in the present study. Baseline body weight was 103 ± 11 kg. All subjects participated during the 12 weeks preceding this part of the study in an energy restriction program (VLCD; 2 MJ/d) (diet period). Twenty subjects also participated in an exercise training program as described below. Results of the diet period have been reported previously. After these initial 12 weeks, subjects were followed during a period of 40 weeks (follow-up period). They were instructed to maintain body weight at the post-VLCD level. The exercise training group continued the same exercise training program. All subjects were in good health as assessed by medical history and physical examination. At baseline, none of the subjects spent more than 2 hours a week in sports activities or had a physically demanding job. They did not take medication known to influence the variables measured. Before the energy restriction program started, subjects were matched with respect to age, BMI, fat percentage, weight, and maximal oxygen uptake and randomly divided in 2 groups, the D or DE. The last 2 weeks of the diet period, subjects stabilized body weight (change during the last week, ±0.17% ± 0.36% [0.14 ± 0.89 kg]). On average, weight loss was 15.0 ± 5.8 kg. The study protocol was approved by the
Ethics Committee of Maastricht University. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

In this part of the study, subjects in the former D group form the control group (C) and subjects in the former DE group form the exercise group (E). Two subjects from the control group did not participate in the measurements after the follow-up period (due to lack of motivation). In the exercise group, 6 subjects did not participate in the final measurements (3 due to illness [2 knee injuries not related to the training program, 1 nephritis] and 3 due to lack of motivation). Subject characteristics of the remaining study population are shown in Table 1.

**Experimental Design**

In the follow-up period, subjects in the exercise group continued their low-intensity exercise training program. Body weight was measured in both groups every 2 weeks. Before and after the follow-up period, measurements of body composition, maximal aerobic capacity, energy and substrate metabolism at rest, and during exercise with and without administration of a nonselective β-adrenergic antagonist were performed in both groups.

**Exercise Training**

Twenty subjects participated in an exercise training program during the follow-up period of 40 weeks (exercise group [E]). The subjects in the control group (C) were instructed not to change their habitual activity pattern over this period. The subjects in the exercise group trained 4 times 1 hour/week, 3 times at the laboratory under supervision of a professional trainer and once at home. The exercise training program consisted of cycling on an ergometer (Bodyguard Cycle; Sandnes, Norway or Excalibur; Lode, Groningen, The Netherlands), walking, and aqua jogging. All exercises were executed at a low intensity (40% V̇O_{2\text{max}}). Every 3 months, a maximal aerobic capacity test was performed, and exercise intensity was corrected if necessary. Heart rate corresponding to 40% V̇O_{2\text{max}} was determined from these tests and was used as the training heart rate. Heart rate was monitored continuously during the training sessions (Polar Electro, Oy, Finland). Subjects attendance at the training sessions was recorded and the trainer inquired for the extra exercise at home regularly.

**Body Composition**

Body weight was measured on a digital balance accurate to 0.1 kg (Sauter D-7470, Eibingen, Germany). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Seca, model 220, Hamburg, Germany). BMI was calculated from weight and height (kg/m²). Body density was measured by hydrostatic weighing, with a correction for residual lung volume estimated by helium dilution with a spirometer (Volugraph 2000, Mijnhardt, The Netherlands) at the moment of under water weighing. Body composition was calculated according to the formula of Siri. 18

**Maximal Aerobic Capacity**

V̇O_{2\text{max}} for each subject was determined by an incremental cycling exercise test on an electromagnetically braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur, Lode). After a warming up period of 5 minutes at 80 W, workload was increased every 4 minutes by 40 W until exhaustion. During the experiment, ventilatory and gas exchange responses were measured continuously using indirect calorimetry (Oxycon β; Mijnhardt, C. England). Heart rate was recorded continuously by electrocardiography. The highest oxygen uptake over 30 seconds achieved was taken as V̇O_{2\text{max}}.

**Physical Activity**

Habitual physical activity was estimated by the Baecke questionnaire, 19 which is subdivided into physical activity at work, sport during leisure time, and physical activity during leisure time excluding sport.

**Energy and Substrate Metabolism**

Energy and substrate metabolism at rest and during exercise was determined at the beginning and the end of the 40-week follow-up period. Experiments were performed 36 to 65 hours after the last exercise bout in a room with a temperature between 23 °C and 25 °C. After an overnight fast, subjects came to the laboratory by car or public transport to minimize physical activity. A catheter was inserted in an arm vein for blood sampling. Subjects remained in semisupine position on a bed for 30 minutes and subsequently cycled on an ergometer (Excalibur, Lode) for 45 minutes at 50% of V̇O_{2\text{max}}, determined by a maximal aerobic capacity test before the weight reduction period. Absolute workload was kept the same in the pre- and postfollow-up test and was 89 ± 11 W in the C group and 92 ± 17 W in the E group. After cycling, subjects recovered in semisupine position on a bed for 15 minutes. During the experiment CO₂ production, O₂ consumption, and RER were determined by an open circuit ventilated hood system at rest and recovery (Oxycon β, Mijnhardt). During exercise, a mouthpiece was used, and for subjects’ convenience, measurements were only conducted from t = 10 to 15, 25 to 30, and 40 to 45 minutes. Energy expenditure was calculated according to the formula of Weir. 20 The accuracy of the system for measurements of CO₂ production and O₂ consumption was tested regularly to be within 5%. During the experiment, heart rate was recorded continuously by electrocardiography. Blood was sampled after 30 minutes of rest (t = 0), after 5, 15, 30, and 45 minutes cycling and after 15 minutes recovery. The sample was divided into EDTA or 300 μL glutathion (45 μg/L saline) plus heparin containing chilled 10-mL tubes and immediately centrifuged at 800 × g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Plasma was stored at −80 °C until analyses. The EDTA containing blood was used for analyses of plasma glucose, free fatty acid (FFA), insulin, glycerol, and lactate concentrations. The heparin and glutathion containing blood was used for analyses of plasma epinephrine and norepinephrine concentrations.

β-Adrenoceptor-Mediated Energy and Substrate Metabolism

The same experiment as described above was conducted on another day with infusion of the nonselective β-antagonist propranolol (PRP) (Zeneca, Ridderkerk, The Netherlands). An extra catheter was inserted in a vein of the contralateral arm for PRP infusion. PRP was infused by a Harvard syringe pump at a dose of 0.71 μg kg fat-free mass⁻¹ min⁻¹ with a prime of 229.4 μg/kg fat-free mass, which was administered in at least 10 minutes. During the experiment, blood pressure was measured every 10 minutes (Omron β, Cemex, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands) and heart rate continuously by electrocardiography. Infusion was stopped when the heart rate reached 45 bpm. The tests with and without PRP infusion were performed in random order.

**Biochemical Analysis**

Plasma concentrations of FFA (NEFA C kit; Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany), glucose (GLUC HK kit; Hoffmann-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland), glycerol (Glycerol kit; Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany), and lactate 21 were measured on a COBAS FARA centrifugal spectrophotometer (Roche Diagnostica, Basel, Switzerland). Plasma insulin concentrations were measured with a double-antibody radioimmunoassay (Insulin RIA 100; Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Plasma epinephrine and norepinephrine concentrations for the test without PRP infusion were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with electrochemical detection. 22
LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF EXERCISE TRAINING

Table 1. Subject Characteristics at Baseline, Postdiet, and the Change During Follow-up Period of 40 Weeks in the Control and Exercise Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Exercise Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Postdiet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>38.6 ± 6.5</td>
<td>39.3 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>103.6 ± 11.7</td>
<td>102.6 ± 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg m⁻²)</td>
<td>32.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>32.1 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>34.1 ± 4.4</td>
<td>32.7 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass (kg)</td>
<td>68.3 ± 9.6</td>
<td>68.9 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>35.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>33.7 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max (mL min}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>3.025 ± 370</td>
<td>3.011 ± 441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max/FFM (mL min}^{-1} \text{kg}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>44.7 ± 5.5</td>
<td>43.7 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Data are expressed as means ± SD. Abbreviation: FFM, fat-free mass.

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as means ± SD. Because fat-free mass increased significantly over the follow-up period in both groups, data on energy expenditure were corrected for individual changes in fat-free mass according to the method described by Ravussin et al. Subject characteristics at the beginning of the follow-up period were tested for differences between groups with an unpaired t test. Changes in subject characteristics over the follow-up period were tested with a 2-way repeated-measurement analysis of variance ANOVA (time × group). Pearson correlations were calculated in the exercise group between training hours and regain of body weight. Values measured before and after follow-up of the rest, exercise, and recovery periods within the control and exercise groups were compared by a 2-way repeated-measurement ANOVA (time and time × condition). Post hoc comparisons were performed by a paired t test over the rest, exercise (mean, t = 15, 30, and 45 minutes) and recovery period. P values of the post hoc comparisons were corrected according to Bonferroni inequalities.

Measurements of RER, energy expenditure, and plasma variables before the follow-up period were tested for a 2-way repeated-measurement ANOVA (group and group × condition). To compare the effects of exercise training on the measured parameters, changes over the follow-up period were calculated for the rest, exercise (average, t = 15, 30, and 45 minutes), and recovery period. A 2-way repeated-measurement ANOVA was used to test differences between the control and exercise group (group and group × condition). Areas under the curve (AUC) of the RER versus time graph were calculated, and differences between groups were tested by a 2-way repeated-measurement ANOVA (time and time × group). A P value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Subject Characteristics

At the end of the diet period, subject characteristics were not significantly different between groups. Over the follow-up period, body weight, BMI, fat percentage, fat mass, and fat-free mass increased significantly in both groups without differences between groups (2-way ANOVA; group NS, time P < .0001, time × group NS). \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) increased significantly over the follow-up period without differences between groups (2-way ANOVA; group NS, time P < .01, time × group, NS). \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max/FFM} \) did not change over the follow-up period (Table 1). Subjects in the exercise group attended 57% ± 20% of the exercise sessions at the laboratory during the follow-up period (4 subjects attended more than 75% and 1 subject attended less than 25% of the training sessions). Causes for absence at exercise training sessions were illness, holidays, and work responsibilities. Subjects who could not attend a training session at the laboratory due to work responsibilities reported exercising at home for 1 hour. They received a heart rate monitor to check training intensity by themselves. Because we could not check whether subjects trained at home or not, training sessions at home were not registered. Energy expenditure per training session was estimated to be 1.5 ± 0.2 MJ. Attendance at exercise training sessions was negatively correlated with regain of body weight (r = −0.6; P < .05; regain [kg] = −0.1 * exercise training during follow-up [h] + 15.8).

The score for sport activity during leisure time (including exercise training at laboratory in the E group) derived from the Baeeck Questionnaire was significantly higher in the E group compared with the C group and did not change over the follow-up period (2-way ANOVA; group P < .01, time NS, group × time, NS) (changed from 2.29 ± 0.55 to 2.25 ± 0.59 in the C group and from 2.98 ± 0.68 to 3.09 ± 0.80 in the E group). Physical activity during leisure time, excluding sport, was not different between groups and did not change over the follow-up period in both groups (changed from 2.59 ± 0.46 to 2.64 ± 0.53 in the C group and from 2.95 ± 0.75 to 3.16 ± 0.67 in the E group).

Effects of Exercise Training During Follow-up on Energy and Substrate Metabolism

At the beginning of the follow-up period, energy expenditure adjusted for differences in fat free mass (EE adj FFM) and RER were not significantly different between the control and exercise group. EE adj FFM and RER did not change over the follow-up period in the C and E group and changes were not significantly different between the groups (Figs 1 and 2, respectively). AUC of RER was not different after the follow-up period from before in both groups (Fig 3). However, RER after follow-up in the C group tended to be higher than baseline (2-way ANOVA; time P = .09, time × condition, NS). Heart rates at rest, exercise, and recovery were
Fig 1. Energy expenditure (EE) (kJ/min) adjusted for changes in FFM in the control (C) and exercise (E) group pre and post-follow-up, at rest (t = 0), during exercise (t = 15 to 45; black bar), and recovery (t = 60) with propranolol (PRP) and without PRP administration. Test with PRP: C group: 2-way ANOVA; time \( P < .05 \), condition, \( P < .05 \). \$ intervention effect: post-PRP test significantly different from pre-PRP test, \( P < .05 \) (average t = 15, 30, and 45).

Fig 2. RER in the control (C) and exercise (E) group pre and post-follow-up, at rest (t = 0), during exercise (t = 15 to 45; black bar), and recovery (t = 60) with PRP and without PRP administration. Post-follow-up test with PRP different from test without PRP: E group: 2-way ANOVA; time \( P < .05 \), condition, \( P < .0001 \).

Fig 3. Area under the curve (AUC) for RER (h) in the control (C) and exercise (E) group at baseline (1), pre-follow-up (post-diet), (2) post-follow-up, and (3) with and without PRP administration.
62 ± 7, 120 ± 16, and 75 ± 10 bpm in the C group and 60 ± 7, 111 ± 9, and 68 ± 10 bpm in the E group pre-follow-up (NS between groups). During the follow-up period, heart rates did not change in either group. Pre-follow-up plasma concentrations of FFA, glucose, glycerol, insulin, epinephrine, and norepinephrine were not significantly different between the control and exercise group, but the lactate concentration was significantly lower in the exercise group compared with the control group (2-way ANOVA; group $P = .05$, group $\times$ condition $P < .05$). Plasma concentrations of FFA, glycerol, and lactate in the control (Fig 4A) and exercise group (Fig 4B) and insulin and epinephrine (Table 2) did not change significantly over the follow-up period. Plasma glucose concentration at rest, exercise, and recovery was significantly increased after the follow-up period in the exercise group (2-way ANOVA; time $P < .05$, time $\times$ condition, NS.
Effects of Exercise Training During Follow-up on β-Adrenoceptor-Mediated Substrate Metabolism

Pre-follow-up, energy expenditure, RER, heart rate, and measured plasma variables during PRP infusion did not differ significantly between groups. PRP infusion did not affect energy expenditure. Energy expenditure with PRP infusion was significantly higher after the follow-up period compared with before in the C group (2-way ANOVA; time \(P < .05\), time \(\times\) condition \(P < .05\)), but not in the E group (Fig 1). PRP infusion increased RER significantly in the E group after the follow-up period (2-way ANOVA; time \(P < .05\), time \(\times\) condition \(P < .0001\)) (Fig 2). The PRP-mediated change in RER (expressed as AUC of RER) was not significantly different before and after the follow-up period in either groups (Fig 3). PRP infusion significantly decreased heart rate (2-way ANOVA; time \(P < .001\), time \(\times\) condition \(P < .001\)) during rest, exercise, and recovery in both groups (C: \(-9 \pm 5, -24 \pm 11,\) and \(-15 \pm 7\) bpm; E group: \(-5 \pm 5, -20 \pm 12,\) and \(-9 \pm 5\) bpm), and the effect was not different after follow-up. The effect of PRP infusion on plasma FFA, glucose (data not shown), glycerol, and lactate was not significantly different after compared with before follow-up (Fig 4A and B). PRP infusion decreased plasma FFA concentration in the C group before and after follow-up (2-way ANOVA; time \(P < .05\)) and in the E group after follow-up (2-way ANOVA; time \(P < .05\)). Plasma glycerol concentration decreased significantly in the C group due to PRP infusion after follow-up (2-way ANOVA; time \(P < .01\)). PRP infusion did not affect plasma lactate and glucose concentration.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrated that continuation of a low-intensity exercise training program (40% \(V\dot{O}_{2}\max\)) over a follow-up period of 40 weeks after weight reduction, with an average adherence rate of 57% ± 20%, did not limit body weight regain. Relative fat oxidation and energy expenditure, as well as the contribution of the sympathetic nervous system to relative fat oxidation, were maintained at postdiet level over the follow-up period.

The present study showed that training attendance was negatively correlated with body weight regain (\(r = -.6, P < .05\)). From the regression equation, it can be predicted that if exercise training is performed 3 times a week as compared with once a week during the follow-up period, average body weight regain would be 4 kg instead of 12 kg. This suggests that attendance at exercise training sessions is a very important factor in a better weight maintenance success. However, regain of body weight in the control group, without exercise of low-intensity exercise training should be at least 3 times a week to attain meaningful differences in weight regain with non-exercising individuals. It is surprising that the data seem to suggest that weight regain may be larger in subjects with a low attendance to the exercise program than in subjects not attending the exercise program at all (control group). However, it cannot be excluded that some of the subjects in the control group were as active or even more active than some of the subjects with low adherence to the exercise program. Subjects for this study were included if they did not spend more than 2 hours in sports activities per week.
activities per week.17 Subjects in the control group were asked to maintain their habitual physical activity pattern, but not to refrain from physical activity. In addition, subjects in the exercise group might have compensated for an increase in energy expenditure by an increase in caloric intake or a reduction of energy expenditure postexercise.

During the follow-up period, relative fat oxidation did not change either in the control or in the exercise group. Comparison of the present study with baseline (before the diet period) showed that in the exercise group, RER at the end of the follow-up period was not different from the baseline. On the contrary, in the C group, RER after follow-up tended to be increased compared with baseline (2-way ANOVA; time \( P = .09 \)). Changes in fat mass have been shown to correlate positively with changes in fat oxidation.14 Therefore, the increase in fat mass in the control and exercise groups during the follow-up period would have been expected to induce an increase in fat oxidation. However, we failed to detect an increase in fat oxidation during follow-up, and changes in fat oxidation did not correlate with changes in fat mass, but changes may have been too small to detect with the methods used.

Continuation of exercise training during weight maintenance did not affect fat oxidation, which was also reported by Pasman et al24 for fasting RER. It might be suggested that the effect of exercise training on fat oxidation was already complete in the weight loss phase of the study and prevented the weight loss-induced decrease in fat oxidation. Therefore, the most important effect of continuation of exercise training during the follow-up period in trained subjects might be maintenance of fat oxidation at the relatively higher pre-follow-up level compared with the control group, rather than a further increase in fat oxidation.

The present study also showed that the contribution of \( \beta \)-adrenergic nervous system activity to substrate oxidation was not changed over the follow-up period in both the control and exercise groups. Results of the preceding diet period showed that weight reduction tended to reduce the contribution of \( \beta \)-adrenergic activity to fat oxidation, but not when exercise training was added to the diet period.17 In agreement with the results on fat oxidation, the results of the present study also suggest that the effect of exercise training on \( \beta \)-adrenergic nervous system activity was completed in the weight loss phase of the study, and continuation of exercise training during the follow-up period only maintained the reached effect.

Data of the present study of the total group did not indicate a role for low-intensity exercise training as a cornerstone for weight maintenance long term. This is probably not related to the inadequacy of low-intensity exercise training to increase fat oxidation capacity, because it was shown that a similar low-intensity exercise training program was able to increase fat oxidation during exercise in the obese under weight-stable conditions25,26 and to prevent the weight loss-induced reduction of fat oxidation during exercise.17 On the other hand, Yoshioka et al27 suggest that it is particularly high-intensity exercise that is associated with higher fat oxidation and lower body fat mass, which may be related to their finding that postexercise fat oxidation is stimulated to a larger extent by a high intensity (77% \( V_{\text{O2max}} \)) exercise bout than by a low-intensity (37% \( V_{\text{O2max}} \)) exercise bout. However, there are no data on the effects of different exercise training intensities on 24-hour respiratory quotient (RQ), so the question whether 24-hour RQ is affected differently by high- and low-intensity exercise training cannot be answered at this moment. Rather than exercise intensity per se, it may be the total extra energy expenditure associated with exercise that is the factor determining weight maintenance success. Fogelholm et al10 investigated the effects of walking on weight maintenance in obese women. Even in the group who exercised 4 to 6 hours a week (weekly energy expenditure during physical activity, 7.7 MJ), weight maintenance was not improved compared with the non-exercising group.10 This was in contrast to a study by Ewbank et al9 showing that the total energy expenditure used for physical activity estimated from the Harvard Alumni Physical Activity Survey Questionnaire predicted weight loss and percentage regain. Schoeller et al28 also showed that the Physical Activity Index is a predictor of weight and fat gain. They showed that there is a threshold of physical activity for minimizing weight gain of 47 kJ per kg body weight/d. This threshold corresponded to 80 min/d of moderate-intensity physical activity or 35 min/d of vigorous physical activity. In the present study, energy expenditure for exercise training during the follow-up period at the laboratory was 4.1 ± 1.7 kJ/kg body weight/d (range, 0.9 to 6.2 kJ). This suggests that in order to increase energy expenditure by low-intensity exercise training (40% \( V_{\text{O2max}} \)) to 47 kJ/kg body weight/d, the subjects should exercise or be physically active approximately 3 h/d.

In conclusion, the present study showed that continuation of low-intensity exercise training for 40 weeks after weight reduction did not limit regain of body weight in obese men, unless exercise training was frequently performed (≥ 3 times a week). Relative fat oxidation, energy expenditure, and \( \beta \)-adrenergic–mediated fat oxidation were maintained at postdiet levels whether or not low-intensity exercise training was performed during follow-up. This might indicate that performance of low-intensity exercise training after weight loss can reduce the risk of developing a positive fat balance.
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