Does Migraine Headache Affect Cognitive Function in the Elderly? Report From the Maastricht Aging Study (MAAS)
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The aim of this study was to examine two aspects of cognitive functioning—information processing speed and memory—in both young/middle-aged and older subjects with and without migraine, using data from a large population-based sample. We found that, although age had a large effect on processing speed and memory, migraine did not influence cognitive performance. In addition, there was no significant interaction between age and migraine on processing speed and memory. Our results suggest that, in the general population, migraine does not seriously affect cognitive functioning in young/middle-aged or older adults.
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There is controversy as to whether migraine affects cognitive function. In some studies, it was found that patients with migraine had lower performance on cognitive tasks than matched control subjects, suggesting that migraine may lead to central nervous system dysfunction. Conversely, other investigations failed to find an association between migraine and cognitive performance, suggesting that migraine is a rather benign syndrome not causing any brain injury. Leijdekkers and colleagues argued that sample differences may account for these discrepant findings. Selected patient samples were used in studies showing a relationship between migraine and cognition. The patient groups in those investigations consisted mainly of people with severe migraine requiring prolonged medical treatment. Studies in which no effect of migraine on cognitive performance was found generally used migraineurs recruited from the general population (often people with mild or moderate forms of migraine). Leijdekkers and colleagues therefore concluded that only severe migraine affects the central nervous system resulting in cognitive impairments.

Virtually all studies on migraine and cognitive function were conducted in young or middle-aged subjects. However, there is reason to believe that migraine has a larger impact on cognitive function in the elderly than in young adults. Satz recently reformulated the concept of “brain reserve capacity” (BRC). He pointed out that brain injury does not necessarily lead to cognitive deficits: a substantial number of neurons must be damaged (beyond a certain threshold) before impairments will emerge. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) research has demonstrated that old age is associated with a loss of neurons in brain areas responsible for information processing and memory, indicating less BRC in older adults than in young people. Therefore, it is conceivable that, in the general population, subtle brain injury brought about
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by migraine will not influence young people's cognitive performance, but may reduce cognitive function in the elderly. In young people, neuronal loss due to migraine would not pass the threshold for the emergence of cognitive deficits, whereas in older people, because of their lowered BRC, it would. This line of reasoning is supported by studies showing MRI abnormalities in older migraineurs\(^{11,13}\) (although this is not a ubiquitous finding\(^{14}\)). The aim of the present study was to test the hypothesis that migraine leads to cognitive impairments in the elderly, using data from the Maastricht Aging Study (MAAS). This is a large, longitudinal, population-based study conducted in The Netherlands, involving almost 2000 healthy subjects aged 25 to 80 years.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

The MAAS is a population-based, longitudinal study on the determinants and consequences of cognitive aging.\(^{13}\) For this article, we used data from the first data wave of the MAAS (the baseline measurement).

**Subjects.**—Subjects participating in the baseline of the MAAS were randomly drawn from a register of family physicians affiliated with the University of Maastricht.\(^{13}\) The register contains all relevant past and current medical morbidity of the patients. Subjects were not included in the MAAS if they had known brain-related disorders. Other exclusion criteria were cerebrovascular disease, mental retardation, or psychotropic drug use. The sample consisted of 1869 patients, stratified for age (12 discontinuous age classes [ranging from 25 ± 1 years to 80 ± 1 years]), gender, and occupational achievement (in two levels) as an indicator of general ability. Stratification was necessary in order to control for the effect of these well-known predictors of cognitive performance in different age strata. More information on subject recruitment and stratification can be found elsewhere.\(^{13,14}\) Data from the register of family physicians made it possible to compare several important background characteristics (ie, age, gender, educational level, and general health status) of participants and nonparticipants in the MAAS. It was found that participation was only marginally affected by age, gender, and education. All subjects underwent an extensive medical and neuropsychological examination, which took about 3 hours to complete. Additional sociodemographic and health information was obtained by questionnaire. The data collection of the MAAS baseline started in 1993 and was completed in 1995.

**Dependent Variables.**—Researchers have made a distinction between basic processes of cognition (eg, information processing speed) and more complex products of cognition (eg, memory function).\(^{13}\) In our study, basic processes of cognition were assessed with the Letter Digit Substitution Test (LDST), a modification of the Symbol Digit Modalities Test.\(^{16}\) At the top of the page, subjects are presented with a code in which a digit corresponds to a letter, and are asked to fill in blanks using the correct digit/letter codes. The number of letters correctly written in 1 minute is a measure of speed of information processing. Memory, a complex product of cognition, was assessed with the Verbal Learning Test (VLT).\(^{17}\) Subjects are presented with 15 monosyllabic words on a computer screen at a rate of one word per 2 seconds. Next, they are asked to recall as many words from the list as possible (in any order). This procedure was repeated four times with the same stimulus words. Twenty minutes after the last trial, subjects were given a delayed recall (DR) task. They were again requested to recall words from the study list. We used the DR task of the VLT as a dependent variable. Neuropsychological research has shown that this task is a very sensitive memory measure. Patients with organic amnesia, eg, patients with Korsakoff syndrome, perform very poorly on the DR task of the VLT.\(^{18}\) Both the LDST and the VLT have acceptable psychometric characteristics, and reliability and validity of these two cognitive tests were found to be reasonable.\(^{19}\)

**Independent Variables.**—Age and the presence of migraine were selected as independent variables. A checklist of chronic medical conditions was administered to all subjects. One of the conditions on the checklist was migraine. In order to reduce potential reporting bias by the subjects, we specifically asked whether or not migraine was currently a health problem. Because the majority of those with migraine do not visit a physician for their headache problems,\(^{20}\)
Table 1.—Cognitive Test Scores of Subjects With and Without Migraine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With Migraine</th>
<th>Without Migraine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Subjects</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Score</td>
<td>No. of Subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDST</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>38.25 ± 8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR (VLT)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>9.54 ± 2.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDST indicates Letter Digit Substitution Test; DR (VLT), Delayed Recall from the Verbal Learning Test.

we did not select migraineurs from the family physicians' morbidity register.

Data Analysis.—Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine if age and migraine had an effect on the LDST and the DR task of the VLT. Given our hypothesis that migraine would affect cognitive performance in the elderly but not in younger adults, we also tested for an age × migraine interaction.

RESULTS

There were 99 subjects with migraine (mean age ± SD, 52.0 ± 14.6 years) and 1768 without migraine (mean age ± SD, 52.0 ± 16.7 years). Sixty-five of the migraineurs were women. The mean ± SD scores on the two measures of cognitive function for both groups are presented in Table 1. The scores of older adults from both groups (aged 64 years or older) are shown in Table 2. Analysis of variance demonstrated a significant main effect of age on both cognitive tests (P < .001 for both). No main effect of migraine on the two measures of cognition was found (P = .343 for information processing speed; P = .930 for memory), nor were there age × migraine interactions (P = .597 for speed of processing; P = .377 for memory).

COMMENTS

We have demonstrated in a population-based sample that migraine was not associated with cognitive impairments. This finding is in accordance with previous research demonstrating that young and/or middle-aged migraineurs recruited from the general population were not outperformed by matched controls on cognitive tests. Also, we failed to find an age × migraine interaction on cognitive performance, suggesting that migraine does not cause accelerated cognitive aging. Although older people generally have less BRC and lower cognitive function than younger people, our findings show that migraine in the elderly does not lead to additional cognitive decline.

Cognitive functioning in old age is affected by medical conditions, especially lung disease and diabe-
We may have failed to find an effect of migraine on cognition because there were more patients with these two medical conditions in the control group than in the migraine group. Therefore, we performed the same statistical analyses with all patients with lung disease and diabetes excluded. The results of these analyses did not differ from our initial results.

Our study has two limitations. First, subjects were given a list of medical conditions and asked to check whether or not they had migraine. Some MAAS participants with nonmigraine headache may have indicated they had migraine because they believed themselves to be suffering from migraine. As a result, our migraine group might have contained a few nonmigraineurs. However, this is not a likely scenario. The checklist was administered to all subjects while visiting the laboratory. Whenever a subject was not sure if he or she was suffering from a condition on the list, a research physician was consulted. Furthermore, the proportion of people with migraine in our sample was somewhat lower than the proportion of migraineurs found in a recent Dutch epidemiological study (in which the International Headache Society criteria for migraine were used). A second limitation concerns the MAAS exclusion criteria. Psychotropic drug users were excluded from the MAAS. Since migraine is occasionally associated with psychiatric disorders, some migraineurs may have been excluded from the MAAS because they used psychotropic drugs as a treatment for comorbid psychopathology. Similarly, people with brain-related and cardiovascular conditions were also not included in the MAAS. As a consequence, participants in the MAAS are somewhat healthier than people randomly drawn from the Dutch population. This raises the possibility that our migraine group may have consisted mainly of those with mild or moderate migraine. Cognitive impairment has been found in young and middle-aged patients who needed prolonged medical treatment for their migraine. Therefore, elderly with severe forms of migraine could still show more cognitive loss than “normal” elderly.

Notwithstanding these limitations, we conclude that migraine does not consistently affect cognitive function in both young/middle-aged and older subjects in the general population.
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