The Adsorption of Prothrombin to Phosphatidylserine Multilayers Quantitated by Ellipsometry*
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We investigated by means of an automated ellipsometer the adsorption of prothrombin from a buffer solution by multilayers of 14:0/14:0- and 18:1/18:1-phosphatidylserine (PS) stacked on chromium slides. In this instrument thickness and refractive index of the adsorbed phospholipid and proteins are monitored continuously.

Two equations are derived to relate the mass of stacked phospholipids and the mass of protein adsorbed to the thickness and refractive index. These equations are based upon the Lorentz-Lorenz relation among the molar refractivities, refractive indices, and the densities of binary mixtures.

Experimental validation of these equations is performed by measuring stacked multilayers of known mass of phosphatidylserine and the adsorption of [131I]albumin and [3H]prothrombin on these multilayers.

Using these equations we measured the dissociation constants Kd and the number of binding sites n0 of prothrombin. Values of Kd = 0.15 x 10^{-8} M and n0 = 122 molecules of PS/molecule of prothrombin were observed for di C16:0 PS and values of Kd = 0.45 x 10^{-8} M and n0 = 54 molecules of PS/molecule of prothrombin for di C18:1 PS. These data compare well to data obtained by other methods available in the literature.

Several crucial steps in the activation sequence of blood coagulation occur at phospholipid-water interfaces (1). In order to allow a quantitative description of these reactions it is essential to know the binding parameters of the enzymes and proenzymes involved at these phospholipid surfaces. To determine these protein-lipid interactions different techniques have been used, such as gel filtration, light scattering, fluorescence quenching, and measurement of surface radioactivity (2-6).

In this paper we present quantitative automatic ellipsometry as a new technique by which the adsorption process of proteins on phospholipid surfaces can be studied. As a model for the phospholipid surfaces we use phospholipid mono- or multilayers which are stacked on a reflecting chromium surface by the dipping technique of Blodgett-Langmuir (7). The optical constants of these layers are measured before, during, and after the interaction with the proteins. From these measurements the amount and density of the protein and lipid in the protein-lipid complex can be calculated directly.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following phospholipids were used: 1,2-dimyrystoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoserine (14:0/14:0 – PS) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoserine (18:1/18:1 – PS). They were prepared by enzymatic synthesis from the corresponding glycerophosphocholine (8). Bovine prothrombin was prepared according to the method of Owen et al (9). All other chemicals used were Merck P.A. Chromium-coated glass slides were manufactured by Stabilux, The Hague, Holland (n = 3.6; k = -2.5). Radioactive [3H]-labeled prothrombin was prepared by oxidation with sodium metaperiodate and then by reducing with sodium [3H]borohydride (10). The specific radioactivity of [3H]prothrombin was 1.64 x 10^6 cpm/mg. [131I]NaBH₄ and [3H]human serum albumin were purchased from Amersham. The composition of buffers is given in the legends to the figures.

Stacking of Monolayers or Multilayers—Stacking was done with a preparative Langmuir trough (Lauda, Type FW-1) according to the method of Blodgett and Langmuir (7). Unless mentioned otherwise the trough was filled with double distilled water and 5 μM CaCl₂. On this aqueous subphase a monomolecular film of phospholipids is spread by adding 100 μl of a solution containing 0.5 mg of phospholipid/ml of chloroform and the surface pressure is held constant at 40 dyn/cm. A chromium-coated glass slide is mechanically dipped into this trough and subsequently redrawn at a speed of 2 mm/min. A double layer of phospholipid is deposited on the slide at each repeated dip. The surface area/molecule of phospholipid was determined on this trough at collapse pressure. The exact quantity of phospholipid spread on the trough was determined by phosphorus analysis (11). In this way it was possible to stack phospholipid layers with an exactly known mass.

Validation of the Lorentz-Lorenz Equations—This validation was performed by using stacked phospholipid layers of known mass and by using radioactive proteins. The phospholipid layers were measured in air and in buffer. The validation using the proteins was performed in the following way. Chromium-coated glass slides were stacked with phospholipid multilayers and placed in a cuvette filled with buffer. The protein was added to the cuvette. After adsorption the cuvette was repeatedly rinsed with a volume of buffer 10 times that of the cuvette in order to avoid errors due to radioactive proteins in the adhering water. The cuvette was removed and the protein was desorbed from the slide with a 1 M HCl solution and the amount of radioactivity was counted. Adsorptions of [3H]prothrombin and [131I]albumin to di C16:0 PS were performed at pH 5 to avoid desorption during the change of the content of the cuvette. With di C18:1 PS, protein desorption was sufficiently slow to allow measurement at pH 7.5.

Determination of the Equilibrium Constant—To study the adsorption of prothrombin on di C16:0 PS, we stacked a double layer of di C16:0 PS on the chromium slide. This slide was put in the cuvette filled with 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, and 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl. In order to obtain maximal adsorption of prothrombin, the slides had to be conditioned at 40–50 °C for a few minutes. Prothrombin was adsorbed at 37 °C. The protein concentrations used varied between 0.1 and 40 μg/ml. The adsorption of prothrombin to di C18:0 PS was done under the same conditions as for di C16:0 PS except for the conditioning, which in this case had no influence on the amount of prothrombin adsorbed.

The abbreviation used is: PS, phosphatidylserine.
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**Ellipsometry**—The ellipsometer is an optical instrument that measures the changes in the polarization of light due to reflection (cf. Fig. 1). These changes are influenced by the presence of a thin film of substance on the reflecting surface. The refractive index $n$ and thickness $d$ of, for instance, an adsorbing layer of protein can be measured at short intervals (1–10 s) because the positions of the polarizer and analyzer are monitored. The instrument used is a modified Rudolph & Sons ellipsometer Type 43303-200 E. The instrument is automated by computer-controlled stepping motors on the two polarizers indicated in Fig. 1 as the polarizer ($P$) and the analyzer ($A$). The measurement consists of finding the positions of $P$ and $A$ corresponding to minimal transmission of light to the photodiode. A complete description of the instrument is given in Refs. 12 and 13. The method of computation is based on Refs. 14 and 15. It can be summarized as follows. The ratio $R_p/R_o$, where $R_p$ is the reflection coefficient for light polarized parallel to the plane of incidence and $R_o$ is the reflection coefficient for light polarized perpendicular to the plane of incidence, is given by

$$R_p/R_o = \frac{\tan \Psi \exp(\Delta)}{\tan \Psi}$$

(1)

where $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ can be directly determined from the readings of, respectively, $P$ and $A$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. $n$ and $d$ of phospholipid layers stacked on chromium slides were analyzed according to the system presented in Fig. 2. The reflection coefficients $R_p$ and $R_o$ are dependent upon the angle of incidence $\phi_i$, the wavelength of light $\lambda$, the refractive indices $n_1$, $n_2$, and $n_3$, and the thickness $d_z$. In fact Equation 1 can be written (16) as

$$C_1 (\exp D) + C_2 (\exp D) + C_3 = 0$$

(2)

where $C_1$, $C_2$, and $C_3$ are complex functions of the refractive indices, $\Psi$ and $\Delta$ and

$$D = -4 \sin^2 \phi_i \sqrt{1 - (n_i \cos \phi_i/n_2)^2}$$

(3)

The value of $n_i$ is determined by refractometry and the (complex) value of $n_z$ is determined ellipsometrically for the chromium slide in buffer, before it is coated with phospholipid. Substituting these values, and an arbitrary (real) value for $n_o$, in Equation 2 will generally yield a complex value for $d_z$. The correct value for $d_z$ must however be real, so Equation 3 is solved by an iterative procedure in which $n_o$ is adjusted such that the complex part of $d_z$ is minimized.

Proteins adsorbed on phospholipid were analyzed according to the system presented in Fig. 3. Equations 2 and 3 remain valid but the complex functions $C_1$, $C_2$, and $C_3$ now also depend upon $n_z$ and $d_z$. Values of $n_z$ and $d_z$ are determined by ellipsometric measurement before the protein is added to the cuvette.

**Calculation of the Adsorbed Mass from the Refractive Index and Thickness of an Adsorbed Layer**—The Lorentz-Lorenz relation for the refractive index $n$ of a mixture of substances can be written as (17):

$$\frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 2} = A_1 N_1 + A_2 N_2 + A_3 N_3 + \ldots$$

where $A_i$ and $N_i$ are, respectively, the molar refractivity of substance $i$ and the number of moles of substance $i$ per unit volume. For a pure substance we may write

$$\rho^* = M \cdot N = \frac{M n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 2}$$

where $\rho^*$ is the density in mass per unit volume. If we consider an adsorbed layer of thickness $d$ we find for the adsorbed mass of a pure substance

$$m = d \cdot \rho^* = \frac{0.1 M d}{A} \left( \frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 2} \right)$$

(4)

where the thickness $d$ is expressed in nanometers and the adsorbed mass $m$ is expressed in micrograms per square centimeter. For a mixture of buffer ($b$) and protein ($p$) we have

$$\frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 2} = A_b N_b + A_p N_p = A_b \frac{\rho_b}{M_b} + A_p \frac{\rho_p}{M_p}$$

(5)

Assuming that we have an ideal mixture, the volume fraction of protein is $V_{b/p}$, where $V_{b/p}$ is the partial specific volume of protein at 20 °C, and $V_{b/p} = 1$ with $\rho_b$ the density of the pure protein. The remaining volume fraction $(1 - V_{b/p})$ has the density of pure buffer, i.e., $\rho_b$. Thus the density of buffer in the mixture is $\rho_m = \rho_b (1 - V_{b/p})$ and we obtain

$$\frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 2} = A_b \frac{\rho_b^2 (1 - V_{b/p})}{M_b} + A_p \frac{\rho_p}{M_p}$$

$$= n_z^2 - 1 = \frac{n_z^2}{n_z^2 + 2} (1 - V_{b/p}) + A_p \frac{\rho_p}{M_p}$$

(6)

where $n_z$ is the refractive index of pure buffer.

From this relation it is easily verified that the adsorbed mass of protein in an adsorbed mixed layer of thickness $d$ in nanometers is given by

$$m = d \cdot \rho^* = 0.3 d \cdot f(n)$$

(7)

where $f(n) = \frac{n + n_o}{(n^2 + 2)(n^2 + 2)}$

(8)

From Formula 6 it follows that the molecular weight, the molar refractivity, and the partial specific volume of the adsorbed or stacked molecular species have to be known in order to obtain $m$ from $d$ and $n$. 

**Fig. 2. Analysis of phospholipid layers. 1, buffer; 2, phospholipid; 3, chromium.**

**Fig. 3. Analysis of protein adsorption to phospholipids. 1, buffer; 2, protein; 3, phospholipid; 4, chromium.**
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Molar Refractivity—The molar refractivity of a molecular species can in principle be obtained from the known data of its constituent material. Using the different values of the molar refractivities of the atoms or atom groups (Table I), we calculated the molar refractivities of the different compounds that are used from their molecular structures (17). Knowing this molar refractivity it is easy to calculate the $M/A$ or $A/M$ values for the mass formulas. In order to calculate the $M/A$ for the proteins, we first calculated the $M/A$ values of the different amino acids and then calculated the $M/A$ values of the proteins by taking the weighted average of their amino acids. If part of the protein consisted of carbohydrate we included their calculated $M/A$ values of proteins were checked with data on albumin solutions of different densities and refractive indices known from the literature (19). The $M/A$ for albumin thus calculated was 4.12, whereas the value calculated from data in the literature was 4.14. No data are available on the refractive index as a function of prothrombin concentration because of the large quantities that are needed for such experiments; so for prothrombin we calculated $M/A = 4.23$ from the amino acid composition (20).

Partial Specific Volumes—The values of the partial specific volumes of the proteins were taken from the literature (Table I). For phospholipids only a few data are available (21) and we determined the partial specific volumes in the following way. From the change in area of the monomolecular film on the Langmuir trough the quantity of adsorbed phospholipid per cm$^2$ was calculated. The thickness of this layer was measured in air by ellipsometry and, based on the high refractive index of the layers, we assumed that the water content of these stacked phospholipid layers on chromium in air was too low to influence the thickness significantly. This assumption is supported by the validity of the one-component formula (cf. below) and also by direct observations that stacked lipid layers in air do not contain any water (22). The partial specific volume was calculated according to the following relation

$$V_{so} = \frac{\text{thickness}}{\text{mass/cm}^2}$$

The thicknesses of these stacked layers are given in Table III. The calculated partial specific volumes are shown in Table II.

Accuracy of Ellipsometric Measurements and Mass Calculation—In Fig. 4 the registration of ellipsometer readings during a prothrombin adsorption on 4 layers of di C$_{18}$ PS on chromium is shown. The total change in analyzer and polarizer values during protein adsorption is about 0.9° for the polarizer and 0.6° for the analyzer. These changes correspond to an adsorbed mass of about 0.30 µg/cm$^2$.

As illustrated in Fig. 5, for protein adsorptions, experimental scatter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$M/A$</th>
<th>$V_{so}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:0/14:0 PS</td>
<td>680°</td>
<td>183.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1/18:1 PS</td>
<td>791°</td>
<td>216.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>66,500</td>
<td>220.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>216.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sialic acid</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>66.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>37.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>37.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N-Acetylgalactosamine)</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>46.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including 1/2 Ca$^{2+}$ because of Ca-PS complex.

![Fig. 4. Prothrombin adsorption on 4 layers di C$_{18}$ PS stacked on chromium. Prothrombin concentration, 10 µg/ml; buffer, 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.1 M NaCl. Analyzer and polarizer values are indicated on the figure. Analyzer and polarizer axes are different. Time is indicated in seconds.](image)

![Fig. 5. The thickness, the refractive index, and the mass of the adsorption of Fig. 4 as a function of time.](image)
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TABLE III
Experimental validation of the mass relations

All values given are mean ± standard deviation. Phospholipid layers are stacked as described under “Materials and Methods.” Buffer, 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl. Prothrombin adsorption on 8 layers of di C₁₄₀ PS: prothrombin concentration, 20 µg/ml, 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl. Albumin adsorption on 8 layers of di C₁₄₀ PS: prothrombin concentration, 20 µg/ml, 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Refractive index</th>
<th>One-component formula</th>
<th>Two-component formula</th>
<th>Direct determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 layers di C₁₄₀ PS in air (N:10)</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 layers di C₁₈₃ PS in air (N:10)</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 layers di C₁₄₀ PS in buffer (N:10)</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 layers di C₁₈₃ PS in buffer (N:10)</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[³H]Prothrombin on di C₁₄₀ PS (N:3)</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.77</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[³H]Prothrombin on di C₁₈₃ PS (N:3)</td>
<td>5.17 ± 1.12</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[¹²⁵]Alb. on di C₁₄₀ PS (N:3)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Calculation of Adsorbed Mass from the Amount of di C₁₄₀ PS and di C₁₈₃ PS Deposited—Total adsorbed mass was calculated for 12 stacked monolayers of di C₁₄₀ PS and di C₁₈₃ PS and compared with the quantities of phospholipid disappearing from the Langmuir trough. The results are shown in Table III. Values of the refractive index, the thickness per layer, the mass calculated by the two-component formula, and the mass calculated by the one-component formula are given as the mean values ± standard deviation. If we compare the values of the phospholipid layers measured in air with the values measured in buffer we observe an increase in thickness and a decrease in refractive index for both phospholipids when they are in buffer, indicating swelling by penetration of water.

The results of the mass calculation with the different mass formulas show that for the layers measured in air the results obtained with the one-component formula correspond best with the directly determined mass measured on the trough, whereas the layers measured in buffer are better calculated by the two-component formula.

Quantitation of [¹²⁵]Alb. and [³H]Prothrombin—Calculations of adsorbed mass of protein based on the one-component and the two-component formulas were compared with direct estimates of adsorbed radioactivity. Adsorptions of [³H]prothrombin on 8 layers of di C₁₄₀ PS and 8 layers of di C₁₈₃ PS and adsorption of [¹²⁵]Alb. on 8 layers of di C₁₄₀ PS are shown in Table III. This table shows a considerable variation in refractive index and thickness among differ-
ent experiments. If we look at the results of the two formulas we see that the mass in some of the experiments should be calculated by the one-component formula and in other experiments by the two-component formula. To find criteria for use of one of these formulas, the calculated mass divided by the directly determined mass is presented in Fig. 6 as a function of the refractive index. This figure shows that the mass should be calculated by the two-component formula if the refractive index value is between buffer values and , depending on the substance adsorbed. For refractive indices higher than we have to use the one-component formula.

**Adsorption of Prothrombin to di C140 PS and di C181 PS—**

To determine the dissociation constant and the number of binding sites of prothrombin to di C140 PS and di C181 PS we adsorbed prothrombin to these layers (0.1–40 g/ml) at different concentrations. Fig. 7 presents the Scatchard plot of prothrombin adsorption. We obtain two different sets of data depending upon the phospholipid used. This results in a of prothrombin for di C140 PS of and number of binding sites . The values for the di C181 PS-prothrombin interaction are and number of binding sites .

It is presently assumed that refractive indices that are higher than the refractive index of the pure components indicate interactions between the adsorbant and the adsorbing molecules that are more complicated than simple apposition. One might think of penetration of the protein into the lipid, shrinking or swelling of the lipid layers, etc. The validation of the formulas also shows that the assumption of ideal behavior of the protein solution, even for very high concentrations, is justified. This result was previously found for solutions with protein concentration as high as 40% protein (23, 24) in refractive index and density studies. This ideal behavior also means that the refractive index increment of these proteins is a constant at all concentrations. The good correlation between calculated mass and the radioactive labeling protein mass for prothrombin and albumin justifies the calculation of the value of from the amino acid composition. As shown in Table III, the refractive index of the stacked phospholipids is lower in buffer than in air. This indicates that water molecules penetrate the phospholipid layer. In stacked phospholipid layers a water gradient was found with fluorescent probes (22). The good results of the mass calculation mean that it is also possible to determine the partial specific volume of the adsorbed or stacked substances by ellipsometry.
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